

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,381		02/25/2004	Joseph Battiston	629-1-033CON	2903
23565	7590	07/20/2004		EXAM	INER
KLAUBER & JACKSON				FETSUGA, ROBERT M	
411 HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK, NJ 07601				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCKLING	icit, 115	07001		3751	

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Λ_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/786,381	BATTISTON, JOSEPH W
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INO DATE of this communication	Robert M. Fetsuga	3751
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	tne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a repliply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 feet 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-26 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 12-26 are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a deposition of the Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appiority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Neticialists Sites (115 652) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3751

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 19-24, drawn to a commode, classified in class
 subclass 254.
- II. Claims 12-18, 25 and 26, drawn to a pan, classified in class 4, subclass 479.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the particular structure set forth in claims 12, 25 and 26 is not relied upon for patentability in claim 19. The subcombination has separate utility such as with a flush closet.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification which would lead to divergent fields of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,381

Art Unit: 3751

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Fig. 1; and

Species II: Fig. 2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/786,381

Art Unit: 3751

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Upon an election of either Species I or II as defined above, a further election of one of the following sub-species is required consonant with the rules set forth supra:

Sub-species A: Fig. 3A; and

Sub-species B: Fig. 3B.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 703/308-1506 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday.

Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751